	Application No.	Applicant(s)		WK
•	1 1	1	CAN \$ 4145	_
Office Action Summary	Examiner	SILIBADI	Group Art Unit	=+
		L. CORBIN		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	P	beneath the cor	respondence address-	_
P riod for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAILING D	ATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statused and reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ly within the statutory nexpire SIX (6) MONTHS	ninimum of thirty (30 from the mailing da n to become ABANI	days will be considered tim te of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	ely.
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5-28	- 02			
This action is FINAL .				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935.0	or formal matters, p r C.D. 1 1: 453 O.G. 21	rosecution as to 3.	the merits is closed in	1
Disposition of Claims		-		
JEClaim(s) 39, 40, 42-47		is/are pe	ending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)				ion.
□ Claim(e)		:- /		
Claim(s) 39, 40, 42 -47		is/are rej	ected.	
☐ Claim(s)				
☐ Claim(s)		are subje	ect to restriction or election	on
Application Papers		requirem		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		• •	1.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examine	r		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und	OF 11 0 0 C 440 /	a)–(d).		
	ier 35 U.S.C. § 119 (
□ All □ Some* □ None of the:	ier 35 U.S.C. § 119 (
	_			
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been reco □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been reco 	eived. eived in Application	No	·	
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have 	eived. eived in Application ave been received		·	
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been recording to the copies of the priority documents have been recording to the certified copies of the priority documents have been recording to the certified copies of the priority documents have been recording to the certified copies of the priority documents have been recording to the priority documents have been recording to the certified copies of the priority documents have been recording to the priority docum	eived. eived in Application ave been received ureau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a))		
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have 	eived. eived in Application ave been received ureau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a))		
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been recomplication. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recomplication. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recomplication. □ The priority documents have been recomplication. □ The priority documents have been recomplication. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recomplication. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recomplication. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recomplication. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recomplication. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recomplication. 	eived. eived in Application ave been received ureau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a))		
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been recomplication. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recomplication. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recomplication. □ The priority documents have been recomplication. □ The priority documents have been recomplication. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recomplication. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recomplication. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recomplication. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recomplication. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recomplication. 	eived. eived in Application ave been received ureau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a))	······································	
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been recommon complex of the priority documents have been recommon copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recommon copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recommon copies. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recommon copies. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recommon copies. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recommon copies. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recommon copies. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recommon copies. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recommon copies. 	eived. eived in Application ave been received ureau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)) Int rvi w Summa	······································	O-152
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received: □ The priority documents have been received: □ Attachment(s) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) 	eived. eived in Application lave been received ureau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)) Int rvi w Summa Notice of Informa	ary, PTO-413	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. 28



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/305,178	(05/04/1999	GUILLAUME RIBADEAU-DUMAS	6-1032-035 1699	
803	7590	08/20/2002		•	
		TURM LLP		EXAMINER CORBIN, ARTHUR L	
1213 MIDL 206 SIXTH	AVENUE				
DES MOIN	ES, IA 50	309-4076		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1761	28
				DATE MAILED: 08/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application/Control Number: 09/305,178

Art Unit: 1761

4

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 39, 40 and 42-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yatka et al (5,458,892) or Meyers et al (5,236,719).

Applicant is referred to the reasoning set for in paragraph no. 5, Paper No. 25.

3. Applicant's arguments filed May, 28, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Whereas applicant's Example 2 indicates that a hydrogenated dextrin having a MW of 4500 is better than hydrogenated polydextrose having a MW of 800, there is no factual evidence of record to support a conclusion that applicant's claimed range of 4000-5000 Daltonsis critical. In fact, said range is merely preferred according to page 11, lines 1-2 of applicant's spec.

Although the claimed water solubility is not disclosed by either primary reference, as applicant argues, the polyols in either reference naturally posses, a low water solubility.

Moreover, applicant has not presented any factual evidence to indicate that the claimed water solubility is critical. In the absence of unexpected results it would have been obvious to select a polyol having any low water solubility simply depending upon desired results, personal preference and consumer appeal.

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

7

Application/Control Number: 09/305,178

Art Unit: 1761

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur Corbin whose telephone number is 703 308-3850. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 10 AM to 7:30 PM and on alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703 308-3929. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872-9310 for regular communications and 703 872-9310 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 30%-0661.

Examiner Corbin/ng August 16, 2002 ARTHUR L. CORBIN PRIMARY EXAMINER 8 19 01